

My dear Sir & Brother,

I have much pleasure to acknowledge receipt of your two fraternal letters dated 9 & 11 Instants, in the first of which I found enclosed the lists of Grand Masters. The letter of the Chev. Cardona, according to my own opinion is very much in our favour, and entirely against R. W. F. - In it the Minister says that his Government "having not recognised" (that is to say having not recognised the Order of Naples & Parma as Order of the New Kingdom of Italy, and having prohibited its dignity and were created by the ex-Monarchy of Naples & Parma knights of the Order in question) he had not the right to interfere with their Masonic proceedings and therefore they were in liberty to use the Order in the same way as they might use any other Order belonging to any state, without fearing his interference. It seems also that the "loge Maurique" styling itself of the "Croix Rouge of Constantin" did not explain to him their pretensions to be the "masters" of the "Shivite Order of Saints George", but simply asked from Count Maffei <sup>if he will prosecute them for</sup> ~~the permission~~ to wear the insignia in a Masonic, <sup>in secret lodges &c</sup> view when Count Maffei told them that in such a case they might use anything without the permission of any body - I explained all these to Bro. Yarker who <sup>wrote</sup> a note explanatory of the meaning of the letter of the Minister <sup>to the magazine</sup> and granting it - King Victor Emmanuel if he recognised (although illegally) the Order of Parma & Naples as Order of his State, then the "permission" given to R. W. F. would be important and he might confer it on the authority he received, but as the things are now, when we know

\* permission was never given, but simply a notification that he was not the proper personage for R. W. F. to apply, the Order not belonging to his government - This is announced from his letter







My dear Sir & Brother,

I have much pleasure to acknowledge your  
fraternal letter dated 1st Decr. inst. in the which  
you informed me that the Librarian of the  
Library of the House of Commons, according to my  
order, had entered a copy of the "Mason's  
Dilemma" in the Catalogue of the Library & had  
also procured a copy of the same to be made  
by the Librarian of the House of Commons.

It is however to be observed that the Librarian  
of the House of Commons, in the exercise of his  
duties, is bound to be true to the Librarian of  
the House of Commons, and not to the Librarian  
of the House of Commons. I have therefore  
ordered that the Librarian of the House of  
Commons should be informed that the Librarian  
of the House of Commons should not be allowed  
to copy any books, or to make any extracts  
therefrom, without the sanction of the Librarian  
of the House of Commons.

I have therefore ordered that the Librarian  
of the House of Commons should be informed  
that the Librarian of the House of Commons  
should not be allowed to copy any books, or  
to make any extracts therefrom, without the  
sanction of the Librarian of the House of  
Commons. I have therefore ordered that the  
Librarian of the House of Commons should be  
informed that the Librarian of the House of  
Commons should not be allowed to copy any  
books, or to make any extracts therefrom,  
without the sanction of the Librarian of the  
House of Commons.

for

and my sanction -

Copyright Worcestershire Masonic  
Library and Museum Trust